Repowering America's Homes, Businesses and Industry with Solar Energy

Building a Solar Future

Repowering America's Homes, Businesses and Industry with Solar Energy

Environment America
Research & Policy Center

Tony Dutzik and Rob Kerth,
Frontier Group

Rob Sargent,
Environment America
Research & Policy Center

Bernadette Del Chiaro,
Environment California
Research & Policy Center

March 2010

Acknowledgments

Environment America Research & Policy Center thanks Jigar Shah, CEO of the Carbon War Room; Carrie Hitt, president of the Solar Alliance; Justin Baca, manager of market research and analysis for the Solar Energy Industries Association; Adam Browning, executive director of Vote Solar; Larry Chretien, executive director of the Energy Consumers Alliance of New England; Wilson Rickerson, executive vice president of Meister Consultants Group; DeWitt Jones, president of DCC Solar Energy Advantage; and Bill Powers of Powers Engineering for their thoughtful review and insightful suggestions. Thanks also to Elizabeth Ridlington, Susan Rakov and Travis Madsen of Frontier Group for their editorial support.

Environment America Research & Policy Center thanks the John Merck Fund, the Energy Foundation, the Richard and Rhoda Goldman Fund, the Arntz Family Foundation, the Meadows Foundation, the Cynthia and George Mitchell Foundation, the Park Foundation, Fred Stanback, and the Kresge Foundation for making this report possible.

The authors bear responsibility for any factual errors. The recommendations are those of Environment America Research & Policy Center. The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of our funders or those who provided review.

Environment America Research & Policy Center is a 501(c)(3) organization. We are dedicated to protecting America's air, water and open spaces. We investigate problems, craft solutions, educate the public and decision makers, and help Americans make their voices heard in local, state and national debates over the quality of our environment and our lives. For more information about Environment America Research & Policy Center or for additional copies of this report, please visit www.environmentamerica.org.

Frontier Group conducts independent research and policy analysis to support a cleaner, healthier and more democratic society. Our mission is to inject accurate information and compelling ideas into public policy debates at the local, state and federal levels. For more information about Frontier Group, please visit www.frontiergroup.org.

Cover photos: Top row (l to r): VELUX/ESTIF; Kevin Dooley, reprinted under Creative Commons license; Alex Snyder, Wayne National Forest; Gregory Kolb, Sandia National Laboratory; Sacramento Municipal Utility District; Solar panels: istockphoto.com, Daniel Schoenen Fotografie

Layout: Harriet Eckstein Graphic Design

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

America has virtually limitless po

Concentrating solar power (CSP) – CSP plants use mirrors to focus the sun's energy to harness heat that can be used directly or to generate electricity. Because heat is cheaper and easier to store than electricity, CSP plants with thermal storage can be designed to provide energy from the sun even at night. CSP plants have been reliably generating power in desert areas of the West for decades and are now experiencing a resurgence due in part to falling costs and increasing demand for utility-scale renewable electricity.

Solar water heaters – Rooftop-mounted collectors capture solar energy as heat and produce hot water. Solar heat collectors can be extremely efficient; low-temperature heaters can capture up to 87 percent of the solar energy that reaches them. Solar water heaters can also be adapted for uses ranging from residential water heating to large-scale industrial use.

Solar space heating and cooling – Collectors similar to those used for hot water can also be used to heat air in place of furnaces or boilers. These systems can contribute 50 percent or mor400.6777 Tm(b)-10(e)-10( )-1Th(p)-10(t)-10(e)-10(d)-10( )-10(f)-10(oe

energy costs by 15 to 20 percent by reducing the need for electric lighting.

- Laundry facilities, hotels, hospitals and even baseball's Boston Red Sox have adopted solar water heating to reduce their consumption of natural gas for water heating.

Solar Factories

Manufacturing facilities consume vast amounts of energy to create heat, much of it at temperatures that could be supplied by solar water heating systems. Food processors, chemical companies and textile plants are among those that are good candidates for solar energy. For example, a Frito-Lay plant in California uses solar concentrators to provide heat for cooking snack foods. At full capacity, the system replaces as much natural gas as is used by 340 average American homes.

Solar Farming

Solar photovoltaics can provide a large share of the electricity needed to operate a farm and keep harvested crops cool, and are especially useful for pumping water, providing irrigation and meeting other needs in remote areas that aren't easily reached by the electric grid. Many farms could also take advantage of solar energy for heating greenhouses, ventilating barns or drying crops.

Solar in Transportation

The development of plug-in vehicles—both plug-in hybrids and fully electric vehicles—will allow renewable energy to play a larger role in powering our transportation system. Toyota, for example, is developing solar charging stations for its Toyota Prius plug-in hybrid vehicle, due on the market in 2011. In addition, America's vast areas of highways and parking lots could house solar panels.

New transportation technologies create new opportunities to use solar power. California's high-speed rail authority has committed to powering the state's new rail system with renewable energy, while major shipping companies are experimenting with the use of "solar sails" to reduce the environmental impact of shipping.

Solar Communities

Government facilities such as offices, schools and wastewater treatment plants, as well as community institutions such as churches, are often excellent candidates for solar energy.

New policy tools enable members of a community to work together to finance solar energy installations, enabling even individuals without suitable roofs to take part in expanding solar power.

Housing developments in Europe and elsewhere have created neighborhood-wide solar district heating systems that reduce fossil fuel consumption for space heating and water heating by 25 percent or more.

Building the Solar Grid

Concentrating solar power plants can replace coal and other fossil fuels for base load electricity generation.

Since photovoltaics generate energy best when demand is highest—on hot, sunny summer days—they can reduce the effective peak demand that utilities have to meet, providing stability to the grid, reducing the need for expensive new power plants and transmission lines, and curbing air pollution.

Photovoltaic cells and solar water heaters distributed on buildings around the country will reduce the amount of energy that needs to flow from central power plants or energy providers to consumers.

access laws prevent homeowners' associations and municipalities from adopting rules that effectively ban the use of solar energy, while revisions to permitting rules and utility regulations can reduce the hassle and cost of installing solar energy and ensure that people are compensated fairly for the solar power they supply to the grid.

Public education and workforce development efforts are critical to expanding the use of solar energy. Public education programs can help answer consumers' questions about solar energy and make it easier to "go solar." Workforce training can expand the number of workers with the skills needed to partake in the dramatic growth of America's solar energy market. Meanwhile, energy labeling requirements for buildings can ensure that the energy-saving value of passive and active solar energy systems is fully understood when properties change hands.

Investments in a solar grid will be needed to fully tap America's solar energy potential. A well-designed "smart grid" can ensure that solar power is an asset to the electric grid, while limited investments in new transmission capacity can help to tap the nation's best solar resources.

Research and development programs can help ease the integration of existing solar technologies, further develop emerging technologies with great promise for the future, and investigate new potential uses for solar energy.

Introduction

America's energy system is all-important to our economy, but it is so integrated into our daily lives that it has become all but invisible to most of us.

Few of us ever stop to marvel at the path that a drop of oil must take from a Saudi Arabian well to the gas tanks of our cars—the drilling technology that allows the crude oil to be pumped from deep beneath the earth, the pipelines that carry that oil to a port, the military power that keeps the shipping lanes open for the tankers to transport that oil halfway around the world to our shores, the giant refineries that convert the crude oil into gasoline, and the extensive distribution infrastructure that gets the gasoline into our tanks.

Similarly, few of us see the immense infrastructure that turns a lump of coal mined in Montana into the electricity that powers a computer in Alabama—the giant machines that mine the coal, the trains that carry it across the country, the massive power plants that convert it into electricity, the ubiquitous web of wires that transmit that electricity across great distances and through neighborhoods to our homes.

Even fewer of us see the environmental damage left behind by our consumption of fossil fuels. Some of that damage is invisible, such as the health-threatening pollutants that foul our air and infiltrate our lungs or the leaking underground oil storage tanks that slowly pollute drinking water. Sometimes the damage is inflicted far away from where most Americans live, appearing as the melting of Arctic ice due to global warming, or the filling in of a remote Appalachian hollow resulting from mountaintop mining. As people in America and worldwide have awakened to the environmental dangers posed by fossil fuels, we have built even more infrastructure to mitigate those dangers—from installing scrubbers on coal-fired power plants to training hazmat teams to clean up oil spills.

Over the course of more than a century, and with the investment of untold billions of dollars, America has built an energy system that does a masterful job of unlocking the energy stored in underground deposits of fossil fuels and transforming that energy into the heat, electricity and kinetic energy that power our economy. However, that same system does a poor job of taking advantage of the

powerful renewable energy sources all around us—especially the sun.

Indeed, as America has built its economy around the expectation of continued access to cheap fossil fuels, we have turned our backs on centuries of received wisdom about how to use the sun's energy to our benefit. Once upon a time, skilled builders oriented homes to take maximum advantage of the sun and wind, installed awnings and deciduous trees to block the sun's rays in summer, and used light-colored building materials to reflect solar energy in hot climates. Today, many of these practices have been eschewed in the quest for mass-produced "cookie cutter" homes that are cheaper to build but more expensive to operate, solidifying our dependence on fossil fuels.

Cheap fossil fuels have also caused us to turn our backs on newer technologies to tap the power of the sun. Solar water heaters have been standard equipment on homes in some parts of the world for decades (and were common in parts of the U.S. in the early part of the last century), yet are rare in the United States today. For years, technologies such as solar photovoltaic panels and concentrating solar power plants have stood ready to play an important role in supplying us with energy, only to falter for lack of consistent government support of the kind enjoyed by the fossil fuel industry.

Today, America is experiencing the downsides of our dependence on fossil fuels as never before. The ominous specter of global warming, the continued pollution of our air and water that results from fossil fuel use, and worries about the cost and availability of fossil fuels in an era of growing worldwide demand—all of these are powerful reasons to look for alternatives. And never before have so many good alternatives been available.

Solar energy has the potential to dramatically reduce our use of fossil fuels in virtually every area of our economy. It is clean, safe, ubiquitous and flexible. It is also increasingly cost competitive with conventional sources of energy.

Taking advantage of America's limitless solar energy potential would deliver great rewards to the nation, but it won't be easy. It will take creativity to transform our energy system from one based on fossil fuels and centralization to one that efficiently reaps solar energy at the places where that energy is used. Realizing a "solar future" for America will require new habits of thinking, new policy tools, and, most of all, a roadmap of where we are headed.

The immense infrastructure that brings fossil fuels to our homes is a potential obstacle to that transformation, but it is also an inspiration. If America and the world can surmount the challenge of using a drop of oil from a desert half a world away to power a trip to the grocery store in Omaha, how much easier must it be to harness the heat and light that strikes our homes every day?

The time has come for America to embrace a vision of a clean energy future, with solar energy as a key contributor, and to lay the groundwork for that future by adopting smart public policies that can transform our economy and preserve our environment.

America urgently needs to reduce our consumption of fossil fuels to protect our environment and ensure our continued economic prosperity. Solar energy can replace many of the fossil fuels we currently use to power our homes, communities, farms, businesses, factories and cars.

America's Dependence on Fossil Fuels: Harming Our Environment and Threatening Our Future

global warming. Global warming is underway, and its impacts can already be felt in the United States and worldwide. Already, plants and animals are being forced northwards by rising temperatures, putting populations at risk.[6] In the oceans, rising temperatures and acidity are rapidly destroying coral reefs and threatening other ecosystems.[7]

Disturbing though these changes are, they are only a fraction of what will take place if we fail to rein in our emissions. In the few years since the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change issued its most recent report, global warming's harmful effects have already outpaced the scientists' worst predictions.[8] Worse yet, scientists report that we are approaching "tipping points" at which the effects of global warming will accelerate, and efforts at mitigation become more and more difficult.[9]

If global warming emissions continue unabated, global temperatures may increase by as much as 11.5° F and sea levels could rise 6.5 feet by the end of the century, causing massive flooding and displacement.[10] If global warming is allowed to take place on this scale, the consequences will likely include the extinction of as much as 70 percent of all species on earth, intense heat waves with temperatures reaching 120° F in large parts of the United states, and droughts across as much as a third of the globe.[11]

In 2008, our nation emitted more than 7 billion metric tons of global warming pollution, the vast majority of it resulting from the production and use of fossil fuels.[12] In order to preserve a reasonable chance of keeping the increase in global average temperatures below 2° C, emissions of global warming pollutants must peak soon and be cut by roughly half by mid-century. The United States, as the world's second-largest emitter of global warming pollution, and the country responsible for more of the global warming pollutants in the atmosphere than any other, must go farther and faster than the world as a whole.

Achieving these emission reductions will require us to use every resource available to us to decrease our use of fossil fuels. While energy efficiency will likely account for the first major steps we take towards averting a climate crisis, we will also need to replace existing dirty energy sources with new clean fuels. Solar energy—in the form of solar power plants, solar panels and collectors on our homes and businesses, and new buildings that take advantage of the sun's energy through their design—will be a critical tool for achieving this goal.

Solar Energy: A Powerful Solution

Solar energy technologies are a powerful solution to reduce the environmental damage caused by our dependence on fossil fuels.

Life-cycle analyses of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems show that they dramatically reduce emissions of global warming pollutants and smog- and soot-forming pollutants compared with fossil fuels, even when the emissions created in the manufacturing

The decision to install solar PV yields 26 to 27 years of true fossil fuel-free electricity.

of the PV systems are included. For some PV technologies, life-cycle emission reductions are as high as 89 to 98 percent.[13]

According to the U.S. Department of Energy, the "energy payback" time for a PV system—the amount of time it takes to save as much energy as was used to produce the system—ranges from three to four years and is decreasing over time. Assuming a system lifetime of 30 years, the decision to install PV yields 26 to 27 years of true fossil fuel-free electricity.[14]

Concentrating solar power plants also dramatically reduce fossil fuel use and emissions. According to one analysis, a concentrating solar power plant generates enough energy in its first five months in operation to "repay" the energy used to build the plant.[15]

Solar energy can dramatically reduce our use of fossil fuels and our emissions of global warming pollutants. There are many solar technologies that can play a role in America's energy system, and many ways to use those tools to help power our economy.

Building a Solar Future for America: The Tools

When most people think about solar energy, they think of solar panels sitting on rooftops, or, less frequently, mirrors spread out across the desert. While these technologies are important, they represent only part of the potential for solar energy to transform our energy system.

The sun is a ubiquitous and tremendously flexible source of energy. Solar energy can be converted directly into electricity, stored as heat for later conversion, or used in the forms—light and heat—in which it arrives. It can be captured centrally and then distributed to users, or collected right where it will be used. There are many technologies and tools that can be used to harness solar energy.

Photovoltaic Power

Photovoltaic (PV) cells use the sun's radiation to generate a direct flow of electricity. The two most common forms of PV are crystalline silicon PV—the traditional, self-contained PV panels most Americans envision when considering solar power—and "thin films," inexpensive sheets of material that can be used in panels or be spread across roofs and other architectural features. Crystalline silicon PV panels are frequently more expensive, but are more efficient at converting sunlight into electricity, and can be mounted on a roof or can stand alone on top of a pole or piece of machinery. Thin films, while less efficient, cost less and require less silicon to produce. They can also be integrated unobtrusively into buildings—rolled out across rooftops or walls as a barely visible sheet.

PV systems are easily transportable and installable, and can be used to generate electricity where it will be used, even at locations the electric grid doesn't reach.[16] PV is also modular, so installations can be scaled to the appropriate size for a given use.[17] PV's scalability allows it to be used for both large-scale power plants and to power handheld calculators, and it distinguishes PV from almost every other power generation technology—imagine, for instance, a coal-powered calculator, or a nuclear-powered roadside cell phone call-box.

PV has other advantages as well. PV

is one of the few power-generating technologies that is a good fit for urban areas—it produces no air pollution and can be installed on buildings, parking lots and other developed areas without interfering with human activities. As a result, there is no additional land required for siting a distributed PV system. PV systems, un

stream of power by storing the sun's heat and using it to power a generator. These systems are particularly suitable for large power stations, but can also be deployed for smaller scale on-site generation.

All concentrating solar power technologies use mirrors to focus light on a receiver to heat a fluid. Once heat has been

capturing heat from sunlight is simple and efficient, solar water heating systems can absorb as much as 87 percent of the energy radiated at a given section of roof.[23] Solar water heating can be used for swimming pools, to replace conventional residential and commercial hot water heaters, and in larger-scale industrial settings.

to fixtures that also hold electric lights, automatically decreasing the amount of electricity used as appropriate.[30]

Passive solar heating, much like passive solar lighting, aims to admit solar energy to a building when it is needed, while keeping it out when it is not. South-facing windows that admit winter sunlight (usu

Solar water heating systems, which are common in parts of the world, can provide 50 to 80 percent of a building's hot water needs. Credit: VELUX/ESTIF

Solar energy can be integrated into virtually every part of American life—the homes we live in, the offices where we work, the farms and factories that produce the products we buy, and the schools where our children learn. With creativity and sound public policy, solar energy can make a major contribution to America's energy future.

Solar Homes

the day and release it at night. Replacing conventional wood-frame walls with thermal mass walls made of concrete, for example, could reduce whole-house energy consumption by 6 to 8 percent.[37]

Not only are many of these design elements energy savers, but many of them also add to the beauty and comfort of a new home.

Figure 2. Elements of a Passive Solar Home[38]



New homes also provide an opportunity to take full advantage of "active" solar energy technologies such as photovoltaics and solar water heating systems. Instead of having to retrofit a building's electricity or plumbing systems to accommodate solar energy, solar technologies can be built into new homes right from the start, and the home and surrounding vegetation can be deliberately designed to maximize the solar energy potential of the home.

Installing a solar water heater in a new home, for instance, can cost just half of what it would to install one in an existing home.[39] Photovoltaics, meanwhile, can be incorporated into building materials so that they require little technical expertise to install. In October 2009, Dow Chemical announced that it would be rolling out a line of solar shingles that it expects to generate $5 billion worth of revenue by 2015, and which will take less than half as long to install as conventional solar panels.[40] Other companies are developing similar products.

Home builders with standardized solar home designs can incorporate solar energy features less expensively than the owner of an existing home, and may be able to shave costs further by ordering solar components in volume and developing a trained workforce. California, for example, has seen the development of new residential subdivisions—amounting to hundreds of homes—that incorporate solar technologies either as an option or a standard feature.[41]

Residents of solar homes have generally been happy with their purchase. A 2006 survey of California solar home owners found that 92 percent would recommend the purchase of a solar home to a friend.[42] Builders of solar homes also benefit because solar homes sell faster than conventional homes.[43] When combined with advanced energy efficiency technologies, passive and active solar energy can dramatically reduce fossil fuel consumption in homes, while saving homeowners money. A study in the U.S. Southwest estimated that "zero-energy" homes could reduce net energy consumption by 60 percent or more. These homes would cost an added $15,000 to $20,000 for construction, but in all cases the homeowner would save more in energy bills than was spent on the energy-saving features.[44]

Nor do solar homes only work in the Southwest or other sunny regions of the United States. A Massachusetts state task force, for example, concluded that the energy savings of a zero-energy home in that cold-weather state more than compensate for the additional upfront cost. In fact, incorporating passive solar features can actually reduce some of the costs associated with building a new home by allowing for the installation of smaller, less expensive

heating and air conditioning systems.[45]

Some building design experts are contemplating "Energy-Plus" building designs that make homes net energy producers. These homes are built using extremely airtight construction materials and techniques and use designs that take optimal advantage of sunlight. The international Passive House design standard, for example, saves up to 90 percent of the energy used in home space heating.[46] These buildings could be paired with active solar systems to be net sources of energy to their communities.

Existing Homes

Existing homes may not have been built to take advantage of the sun, but many are good candidates for incorporating solar energy.

Photovoltaic panels can be installed on almost any roof, but they are most effective on flat or lightly-sloped roofs facing the equator, which receive direct sunlight throughout most of the day. Current estimates suggest that 35-40 percent of residential buildings are suitable for photovoltaic panels.[47]

Solar water heaters typically decrease the amount of natural gas or electricity required for water heating by 50 to 80 percent.[48] A National Renewable Energy Laboratory study estimated that 50 percent of residential buildings nationwide could use solar water heating systems.[49] As with solar photovoltaic panels, solar water heating systems—in which a rooftop collector is used to pre-heat water for household use—can be installed in any climate, although different types of systems work better in different parts of the country. Solar water heating systems are smaller, technologically simpler, less expensive, and more efficient at capturing the energy at sunlight than solar PV panels. Installing a solar water heater in an existing home

Solar homes—such as those in this California development—are increasingly common and sell more rapidly than conventional homes. Credit: Sacramento Municipal Utility District

costs about $6,000.[50] State and federal incentives can defray some of the cost of installing solar water heaters.

Solar Businesses

America's commercial buildings—its big-box stores, strip malls, hotels, office buildings, and the like—are just as dependent on fossil fuels as our nation's homes. Commercial buildings are responsible for nearly roughly 14 percent of the nation's for

their cost. A 2007 assessment of several categories of "green buildings" (some of which incorporate passive or active solar energy systems), for example, found that there was no significant difference in the cost of building green versus non-green buildings.[58] A similar analysis of buildings meeting the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) standards found that buildings meeting the criteria of the lowest three tiers of the LEED program cost an additional 2 percent or less to build, while cutting energy consumption by 28 to 48 percent.[59]

Commercial buildings are much more likely than residential buildings to have flat roofs, meaning that many of them can play host to properly oriented photovoltaic panels or solar water heating systems. A report for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory estimated that 60-65 percent of commercial roof space nationwide would be suitable for PV panel installation.[60]

Many businesses have larger roofs, and use more energy, than residential energy customers. This makes it possible for them to take advantage of the significant economies of scale that come with purchasing and installing active solar installations of larger sizes. Large photovoltaic systems with between 500 and 750 kilowatts (kW) of capacity, for instance, cost about 30 percent less per Watt of capacity than small residential systems.[61] The high-efficiency heat collectors often used for commercial solar heating applications, meanwhile, can be installed in large numbers and coupled with mirrors to enhance their effectiveness. These measures can reduce the per-square-foot cost of these high-efficiency collectors to 50 percent or more below the average cost of residential units.[62]

One potential barrier is that commercial establishments are disproportionately likely to rent their buildings, creating potential "split incentive" problems, in which builders bear the cost of installing solar energy systems but tenants reap the benefits in reduced energy costs. Feed-in tariffs and third-party financing tools can help to surmount these obstacles. (See page 43.)

Solar Factories

Historically, manufacturing facilities have been thought of as environmental polluters, not potential contributors to a green future. But America's manufacturing sector has a great deal to gain from a transition to cleaner sources of energy.

Manufacturing is extremely energy intensive. Food processing facilities, cement plants, steel mills and other industrial facilities use energy on a vast scale. They are responsible for roughly 28 percent of the global warming pollution emitted in the United States.[63] Reducing fossil fuel consumption in factories is not just a matter of environmental concern. American manu-

one way to remain competitive.

Solar energy cannot replace all the energy that is used by America's manufacturing sector, but it can make an important contribution to meeting the energy needs of many of America's factories.

F L L

America's manufacturers use energy for a

well-suited to several uses of industrial heat, including cleaning, drying, preheating of boiler water, and sterilization.[68]

Industrial plants that use large amounts of process heat at low and medium temperatures can potentially install large arrays of solar thermal collectors to provide that heat. Worldwide, approximately 90 solar process heat systems are currently in place, including several in the United States.[69] The largest solar process heat system in operation in the United States is located at a Frito-Lay factory in California. It uses a 5-acre field of solar concentrators to create steam, which is used to heat the oil used to cook the company's SunChips brand of snack foods.[70] At full capacity, the system can produce 14.7 billion BTU of energy per year, equivalent to the annual natural gas use of 340 average American homes.[71]

The industries with the most existing solar process heat plants are food, chemicals, transport and textiles.[72] The kinds of solar heat collectors used can vary with the temperatures needed, and with the scale of the application. Rooftop collectors similar to those used for residential hot water are appropriate for some industrial applications, while large-scale collector arrays similar to those used for concentrated solar power plants can serve large scale, high temperature uses. For fairly low temperature uses, process heat can actually be generated at the same time as electricity through the use of photovoltaic/thermal collector arrays, which capture the waste heat generated by sunlight striking photovoltaic panels.[73]

Solar process heat is just one of many opportunities to tap solar energy in industry. The Steinway & Sons piano factory in New York City, for example, recently installed the world's largest solar cooling system. During the summer, the system cools and dehumidifies the factory, preventing moisture from affecting the precision parts of the company's world-famous pianos, while during the winter the system helps heat the facility.[74]

Solar photovoltaics can be used to help meet the electricity needs of factories. Like commercial buildings, large factories are likely to have flat roofs and be exposed to sunlight. Installing photovoltaics on

generate energy savings over the long term. A mid-1990s review of cancelled solar process heat projects in the U.S. found that two projects were rejected by companies because they sought a three-year payback time, while the proposed projects delivered payback in 4.4 and 5.2 years, respectively.[78]

sent a large share of their total expenses. Farmers spent $28.8 billion on energy in 2003, about 14 percent of their production expenses.[81]

The distributed, modular nature of solar energy makes it uniquely suitable to provide electric power and heat on farms and ranches around the country. Farms and ranches may need electricity

Solar in Transportation

The phrase "solar cars" brings to mind images of toy-store science projects and experimental, Batmobile-style vehicles. But any transportation vehicle—a car, truck, train or even boat—can be a "solar" vehicle, so long as it is capable of being powered by electricity, and that electricity comes from solar power.

America has many reasons to look for alternative sources of energy for its transportation system. The nation's dependence on petroleum has severe environmental, economic and national security implications. Shifting more of America's transportation system to operate on electricity would enable the nation to use a wider variety of fuels, including solar power and other forms of renewable energy.

America's transportation system also represents a powerful, if less obvious, set of opportunities for the utilization of solar power. The nation's transportation system takes up a tremendous amount of land. According to one estimate, approximately 43,000 square miles of land in the United States—an area roughly the size of Ohio—is covered by impervious surfaces, most of them roads and parking lots.[90] Most of this area is unshaded and has little current use other than for storing or transporting cars, meaning that it could easily be used for generating solar energy.

Finally, the hundreds of millions of cars on America's roads could someday help expand the use of renewable energy sources such as solar energy. Plug-in cars can allow for the short-term, distributed storage of electricity in vehicle batteries, providing a source of emergency power to smooth out the peaks and valleys of electricity supply and demand.



Solar garages, such as this one at Arizona State University, shade vehicles while providing power to the grid, and could someday be used to charge plug-in vehicles. Credit: Kevin Dooley, reprinted under Creative Commons license.

L L

Small solar panels are increasingly common sights along highways across the United States, providing electricity for roadside signs, emergency call boxes and other roadside equipment without the need to operate generators or run wires to distant locations. States such as Oregon are going further by installing photovoltaic arrays designed to power all of the lights at a highway interchange.[91]

But these applications account for only a tiny portion of the energy used in powering the nation's transportation system, the vast majority of which goes toward moving vehicles. The introduction of "plug-in" vehicles—electric vehicles and plug-in hybrids—could enable solar power to make a meaningful contribution toward powering our transportation system.

Plug-in vehicles are similar to today's hybrid-electric vehicles, which store the energy captured by regenerative braking and use that energy to help power the vehicle. However, plug-in vehicles have larger batteries that can also store electricity drawn from the grid. In a plug-in hybrid, grid electricity augments energy from a fossil fuel-powered internal combustion engine. In an electric vehicle, there is no internal combustion engine at all; the

vehicle is powered entirely by electricity drawn from the battery.

Plug-in vehicles are currently a rarity in the United States, but that could change within the next couple of years. Several major automakers are working to develop plug-in hybrids—General Motors' Chevrolet Volt is scheduled to enter the market

power is converted to AC and vice versa. In any case, pairing the expansion of plug-in cars with solar power installations can give plug-in hybrid owners the option of charging up during the daytime without adding strain to the electric grid.

In addition to parking lots, highways provide another possible location for solar panels. Oregon is considering the expansion of its "solar highway" program and solar panels have been installed along

This solar thermal array, installed at Arnold Schwarzenegger Stadium in Graz, Austria, provides heat for the town's district heat system. Credit: SOLID/ESTIF

C L L

Solar communities can take many forms. A few potential ways that solar energy can be integrated into communities are discussed below.

Solar District Heating

A "district heating" system is one in which steam or hot water from a central plant is piped to residential and commercial buildings in a city, neighborhood, industrial park or college campus. In other words, instead of each individual building having a furnace or boiler, all the buildings in a district heating system receive heat from one central power plant.

District heat has a long history in the United States. District heat systems were built in many American cities to provide a profitable use for the steam left over after generating electricity. New York City's system is the largest in the United States.[101] Denver, Los Angeles, Boston, Chicago and Kansas City are among the many other cities with downtown heating systems.[102] Nationwide, more than 300 universities and 120 hospitals also use district energy in the form of heat, cooling or both.[103]

Solar energy can help to power district energy systems. In Europe, several housing developments have been built with solar district heating systems. In these systems, rooftop solar collectors heat water, which is then piped to a central storage tank. The storage tank is typically designed with thick concrete walls and buried underground to retain heat for use in times of day when the sun is not shining or even, with the installation of seasonal stor-

age, colder months of the year.[104] The solar district heating system in Friedrichshafen, Germany, which uses seasonal storage to supply heat and hot water to more than 500 apartments, covers approximately 25 percent of the neighborhoods' space heating and water heating energy needs; other solar district heating installations in Germany provide an even greater fraction of

of energy on heat and lighting, and often have large flat roofs available for PV panels or solar heat collectors. Skylights and other passive design features can be used to daylight the top stories of these buildings.

Wastewater treatment plants are also good candidates for solar energy, with large open spaces that can easily host solar panels to help meet the facilities' energy needs.

President Obama and Vice-President Biden inspect solar panels on the roof of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science, one of many com munity buildings that can bene t from solar energy. Credit: White House, Pete Souza

One advantage that churches, schools, and other public buildings have over homeowners in installing solar technologies is that they generally expect to remain in the same building for decades, which gives them greater certainty that they will receive the full lifetime benefit of any solar project. Additionally, schools, libraries, and government office buildings are owned and operated by the same local governments that are often responsible for extending credit and incentives to homeowners for solar projects. By taking advantage of their ability to issue municipal bonds at low interest rates, local governments can finance solar projects in a way that spreads the costs and benefits of solar improvements out over the same time frame.

The Bene ts of Solar Communities

When many homeowners and institutions in a community "go solar," the benefits are often magnified.

For example, as a vigorous market for solar energy develops in a community, the demand for trained solar energy installers increases and the amount of experience gained by those installers grows. Installation costs can represent a large share of the total cost of a solar energy system and reducing those costs is a key step in making solar energy cost competitive. Research suggests that, as solar installers gain experience and "learn by doing," the cost of installations decline.[114] Moreover, this effect is local, meaning that the development of a vigorous solar market in a community or state can help bring costs down, creating a virtuous circle that makes solar energy accessible to a greater number of homeowners and businesses.

Installing large amounts of solar power in an area can also reduce peak demand for electricity in areas where the grid is strained—reducing the need to construct expensive new power plants or transmission lines. Because solar photovoltaics generate the most electricity at precisely the times when electricity demand is highest (hot, sunny summer days), they can play a major role in reducing peak demand on the electricity system. In New Jersey, for example, 10 megawatts (MW) of solar power capacity can be counted on to offset 4 to 7 MW of conventional peak generation, meaning that carefully located solar panels can dramatically reduce the need to run expensive "peaking" power plants, build new power plants, or expand transmission lines to serve peak demand.[115]

Solar Grid

Solar energy, as we have seen, can be captured in American homes and businesses—even entire communities—sharply decreasing fossil fuel consumption. These solar technologies are "distributed"– they are implemented at or near the places where energy is used. Distributed re-

are several ways to harness that resource.

Concentrating solar power (CSP) plants use mirrors to focus the sun's rays on a central collector fluid, with the captured heat used to generate electricity (or, less commonly, to provide heat for industrial processes or space heating). CSP plants have been providing reliable electricity in California for decades, and a new generation of plants is currently in operation,

millions of homes and businesses. To keep the grid running reliably, generators must be turned off and on to ensure a perfect balance between the supply and demand at every second of the day.

The spread of solar photovoltaic power, however, will make the job of keeping the grid in balance more complicated. Instead of homes and businesses being electricity consumers, many will also be electricity producers, feeding power into the grid. In addition, solar energy is variable in ways that are both predictable (night versus day) and unpredictable (the passing of a stray cloud).

America has a long way to go before solar energy begins to have a meaningful impact on the grid. The nation can dramatically expand the amount of solar power in today's grid without reliability problems, and an even greater fraction can be provided if thoughtful steps are taken to integrate solar energy into the existing grid.[122] But maximizing the share of our electricity that comes from solar power will likely require changes in the way we produce and deliver electricity—as well as investments in a well-designed "smart grid." With creativity and investment, experts suggest that as much as 20 to 30 percent of our electricity could someday come from photovoltaics—greatly expanding the ability of solar energy to address the nation's energy needs.[123]

A well-designed "smart grid" is one that is much more sophisticated in managing electricity supply and demand than the current grid. It might include:

Smart inverters—Technology to enable utilities to control the flow of power from solar PV inverters—the devices that transform DC power from solar panels to the AC power

Plug-in vehicles, such as these plug-in hybrids, can contribute to stabilizing the grid by providing electricity storage capacity. Energy storage is a key technology that can enable renewable sources of energy such as solar energy to pla
a larger role in America's energy future. Credit: Argonne National Laboratory

used in the grid and in homes. Utilities could use these "smart inverters" to manage the flow of solar electricity into the grid to maximize grid stability.

Smart controls—Homeowners could use smart controls to make the maximum use of solar electricity—for example, by heating hot water, running space heating or cooling equipment, or (someday) charging plug-in vehicles at times when solar panels are producing the maximum amount of

America's potential for solar energy development is virtually limitless. Solar energy can meet a wide variety of energy needs, it is available everywhere in the United States, and the prices of key solar technologies are declining—in some cases, rapidly.

The nation should set a course to maximize our use of solar energy, putting America on a path toward an energy sys-

Figure 3. U.S. Photovoltaic Resource Map



America's solar resource compares favorably with the resource in two leading countries for solar energy development: Germany and Spain. Even the least sunny areas of the contiguous U.S. have solar resources on a par with Germany, while much of the southwestern U.S. has a better solar resource than Spain. Credit: National Renewable Energy Laboratory

centrating solar power plants, enough to produce six times more electricity than the entire nation consumes today.[128]

Solar water heating—Solar water heating presents the potential for great energy savings. A national analysis of technical potential by NREL estimated that the nation could avoid 0.5 quadrillion Btu of fossil fuel consumption (about 0.5 percent of America's current energy use), along with the consumption of large amounts of electricity, through wholesale adoption of solar water heating. As noted earlier, the International Energy Agency estimates that industrial solar process heat could displace 4 percent of industrial heat demand—reducing America's energy consumption by at least another 0.15 percent.

Passive solar and active solar heating, cooling and lighting—America's potential for passive solar energy—and for the development of "active" solar heating, cooling and lighting technologies—is difficult to quantify, but the technology clearly exists to build homes and commercial buildings that use dramatically less energy than conventional buildings.

Energy vs. Electricity: What Does the 10 Percent Target Mean?

Many targets for renewable energy development are set in terms of the percentage of our electricity supply that comes from renewable sources of power. State renewable electricity standards (RES) typically take this approach, with solar "carve outs" in RES policies sometimes setting goals for a percentage of electricity that will come from solar photovoltaics and/or concentrating solar power.

About 40 percent of America's total energy consumption is devoted to the generation of electricity.[126] But, as this report shows, solar energy can do much more than generate electricity—it can be used to improve the energy efficiency of our buildings and to substitute directly for fossil fuels such as natural gas and oil.

The target proposed in this report—getting 10 percent of America's total energy from the sun—is, therefore, broader and more ambitious than a target of obtaining 10, or even 20 percent of our electricity from solar power. While shifting a large share of our electricity production to solar power is an important component of maximizing America's solar energy potential, the nation must also take full advantage of the potential for solar energy to offset fossil fuel use through passive solar heating and lighting and to replace fossil fuels in our homes and businesses, as well as our transportation system. The target proposed in this report recognizes the broad range of ways that solar energy can power our economy and sets an ambitious goal for its future development.

A Near-Term Goal

America's solar potential is nearly limitless. But tapping that potential—and doing it on a timeline that will make a meaningful contribution to addressing global warming and fossil fuel dependence—will require a bold national commitment to rebuild our energy system around clean, renewable energy.

The project of rebuilding our energy system will take time. Our existing systems for extracting, processing and delivering energy from fossil fuels are more than a century in the making and the result of untold billions of dollars in investment. However, it is imperative that we begin the job of rebuilding our economy around renewable energy now, and commit to challenging short-term goals that will put us on the road to an America that is free from dependence on fossil fuels and the environmental damage those fuels cause.

A goal of obtaining 10 percent of America's energy from the sun by 2030 is just such a challenging target. We already have the technology to get most of the way there. But achieving that goal would also challenge the nation to develop new technologies, and to begin to undertake the type of systemic changes that will enable solar energy to play an even bigger role in decades to come.

Achieving a 10 percent goal for solar energy would result, in two decades' time, in the sun providing us with more energy than we currently produce at nuclear power plants, more than half as much as we currently consume in our cars and

light trucks, or nearly half as much as we currently obtain from burning coal. Together with efforts to improve energy efficiency and develop other renewable energy sources, solar energy can play a major role in weaning the nation from polluting, dangerous, unstable and, in some cases, increasingly expensive forms of energy.

The first step to getting a large share of our nation's energy from solar energy is to reduce our use of energy overall through improved energy efficiency. A recent draft report by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) found that the nation could cost-effectively reduce its overall energy consumption by 26 to 31 percent by 2030.[129] The NAS report includes some, but not all, passive solar technologies, as well as the introduction of plug-in hybrid vehicles, and estimates that, by taking full advantage of the nation's energy efficiency potential, energy consumption could be reduced to 82 to 88 quadrillion Btus (quads) by 2030, compared to a projected 118 quads.[130]

Under that scenario, obtaining 10 percent of our energy from the sun would require the nation to offset roughly 8.8 quads of energy consumption. Much of this energy can come from solar electricity generation—both photovoltaic and concentrating solar power. As noted above, the nation has enough solar energy potential to power our entire economy several times over. A scenario in which the nation obtains 20 percent of its projected electricity use in 2030 from solar energy (taking into account the energy savings resulting from the efficiency improvements in the NAS study) would offset approximately 6.4 quads of primary energy use at electric power plants.[131] (A team of researchers led by experts at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory is exploring the potential and implications of a 20 percent solar electricity scenario as this report goes to press.)

The remaining 2.4 quads could come from a variety of other sources. Taking advantage of the half the nation's potential

America has tremendous potential to capture energy from the sunlight that strikes rooftops and paved areas, such as this parking lot in San Diego. Credit: Envision Solar

for solar water heating on homes and commercial buildings could reduce America's projected primary energy consumption in 2030 by a minimum of 0.3 quads. Tapping the potential for solar process heat in industry would provide additional savings.

Other measures that could increase the share of our nation's energy that comes from the sun include:

Widespread deployment of technolo-

Achieving a Solar America

Solar energy has a great deal going for it. It is enormously popular—polls consistently find that the vast majority of Americans back increased government support for solar energy, and that Americans prefer expansion of renewable energy technologies, including solar, over other approaches to addressing the nation's energy challenges.[132] Solar energy is available everywhere, can be used for many purposes, and the fuel is free.

But despite these advantages, achieving a solar future for America won't happen on its own.

Understanding the Barriers to a Solar America

Conventional wisdom holds that solar energy hasn't made serious inroads in the United States because it is too expensive. And to a certain extent that is true: "active" solar energy systems such as photovoltaics and solar water heating systems have historically been more expensive than conventional sources of energy—especially when the social costs of fossil fuel consumption, including air pollution, global warming and national security implications, are not factored in.

But cost is actually one of the less-important barriers to solar energy. There are a host of regulatory, legal, information and financing barriers—sometimes erected by



Solar energy can power communities across the nation, even in northern climates. The Drake Landing solar community in Okotoks, Alberta, Canada, just south of Calgary, relies on the sun for 90 percent of its space heating needs through the use of a solar district heating system with seasonal storage. Credit: Natural Resources Canada

companies with an interest in preserving the status quo—that prevent solar energy from gaining more traction in the United States. These are barriers that can be surmounted by creative public policy.

The current cost barriers to solar energy are falling, in some cases rapidly. Between 1998 and 2008, the installed cost of photovoltaic systems declined by 31 percent, excluding the impacts of tax incen

Payback times: A solar energy system may pay for itself over time, but individuals and particularly businesses will be reluctant to invest in solar if the payback period is too long. Economic research suggests that only a small percentage of customers will use a technology that takes five or more years to pay back its costs.[138] For businesses, required payback times can be even shorter—a review of cancelled industrial solar process heat projects found that many companies required payback periods of three years or less for capital investments—a standard that the proposed projects barely failed to meet.[139]

Length of tenure: Even homeowners or businesses that are willing to accept longer payback times may be unwilling to invest in solar due to concerns that they will move out of their current location before the solar system pays itself off, or that the remaining value of the system will not be received upon resale.

Split incentives: When the owner of a building is different from the person who pays the utility bills, the owner has less incentive to install a solar energy system. Unless they can be confident that they will receive the benefits of their purchase, landlords will have little reason to consider solar technologies.

Failure to incorporate social benefits: Owners of solar panels rarely receive checks in the mail for the benefits their investments deliver to society—reduced global warming impacts, reduced health care costs due to avoided pollution, enhanced national security, reduced need to invest in peaking electric plants and transmission wires, etc. Government and utility financial incentives can compensate for the failure to take into account the true costs and benefits of various energy technologies.

Risk: When consumers draw power from the electric grid, they are sheltered from the financial risks posed by the failure of electric generating equipment. Even if ratepayers must pay to replace a failed power plant, the costs of doing so are spread among thousands or millions of customers. Owners of solar energy systems, however, bear the risk that the system will fail or be destroyed and need to be replaced (though these risks can be mitigated through warranties and insurance).

Utility barriers: Traditionally regulated utilities, which are assured a return on investment for the investments they make in electricity generation and often benefit financially by selling more power to consumers, have financial incentives to resist the spread of customer-owned solar systems that reduce the demand for grid-supplied electricity. Utilities may create hassles for consumers or businesses seeking to connect their solar energy systems to the electric grid, or establish limits on the number or size of systems eligible for net metering, in which consumers are compensated for the energy they supply to the grid. Utilities seeking to build their own solar projects may run into barriers of a different sort: lack of access to the transmission capacity needed to carry their power to customers.

It is these hurdles, more than cost, that often impede the spread of solar power. After all, Americans have a strong recent

track record of embracing exciting new green technologies—even those with higher upfront costs and uncertain payback. The experience with hybrid-electric vehicles—of which more than 1 million have been sold in the United States over the past decade—demonstrates the appeal of energy-saving technologies.[140] Now, thanks to early consumer demand, car manufacturers produce hybrids in a variety

fuel industry, compared with just $29 billion to renewable energy (with most of the renewable energy funds used to subsidize corn ethanol).[143]

California, New Jersey and other states have helped spur solar power installations through financial incentives. Financial incentives can come in several forms:

Cash incentives provide an upfront rebate to homeowners or businesses installing solar panels. California's Million Solar Roofs Initiative, for example, provides grants to homeowners who install solar systems, with the amount of the rebate declining over time to reflect the anticipated declining cost of solar power. There are various types of cash incentives including rebates paid upfront or over time, grants, or access to a renewable energy credit market.

Tax credits also encourage individuals and businesses to install solar energy. Current federal law allows individuals to receive a tax credit for 30 percent of the cost of installing a qualified solar PV or hot water system.[144] The federal government also provides a similar investment tax credit for businesses adopting solar energy.[145] As with cash incentives, the value of tax credits can be made to decline over time as solar energy becomes increasingly cost-effective.

Feed-in tariffs are special rates paid to owners of solar photovoltaic systems that supply electricity to the grid. The idea behind a feed-in tariff is to reduce the financial uncertainty facing would-be installers of solar panels by providing a long-term, guaranteed, incentivized rate for power supplied by a solar energy system to the grid. Feed-in tariffs have played a large role in the development of Germany's world-leading solar power industry, and have recently been adopted by Vermont and Washington state. The Vermont law, for example, is designed to ensure that homeowners or businesses receive the same return on equity for their investment in solar as utilities would receive for their investments in power generation technology.[146]

Fair net metering policies can ensure that consumers receive the full bene ts of their investments in solar energyCredit: Kenn Kiser

Net metering policies enable consumers to receive fair compensation for the excess solar electricity they feed into the grid. Net metering typically compensates consumers at the retail or wholesale price of electricity rather than at an incentivized price. Not all net metering policies are created equal, however—some policies require consumers to forfeit accumulated credits on their electricity bills to the utilities at the end of the year, while other utilities and states set onerous limits on the size of solar energy systems that are eligible for net metering or on the share of a utility's overall electricity eligible for net metering. Consistent, fair and generous net metering policies can make it much more advantageous for homeowners and businesses to install solar energy systems.

L F

Solar energy provides a vast array of benefits to electricity consumers, the environment,

and society at large. Public policies can ensure that solar energy is treated fairly in the marketplace.

Policies to **encourage utility deployment of distributed solar energy** can be designed to ensure that the unique value of solar energy is taken into account when utilities decide which energy resources to use. For example, California includes a "time-of-delivery adder" in assessing the value of projects proposed for compliance with the state's renewable electricity standard, ensuring that the added value of electricity delivered during periods of peak demand is factored into decision-making. The value of distributed solar energy in avoiding transmission line expenses and other benefits of solar could also be taken into account.

Putting a price on global warming pollution can ensure that decision-makers consider the environmental costs and benefits of the energy choices they make. A cap-and-trade system for global warming pollution, such as the one that has already passed the U.S. House of Representatives, would begin to incorporate the real cost of pollution from fossil fuel power plants into the price of energy, and would help promote the development of clean energy alternatives.

A
A E

Renewable electricity standards (RES) are requirements that utilities in a given state obtain a certain percentage of their electricity from renewable sources of energy. Fourteen states have established **solar carve-outs** in their standards to ensure that the RES encourages the development of solar energy. RESs encourage utilities to build large-scale solar projects and to install distributed solar systems on homes and businesses. In addition, states such as New Jersey enable individuals or businesses who install solar energy systems to receive payments from utilities seeking to meet their renewable energy obligations, providing yet another financial incentive for the adoption of solar power.

Government purchasing requirements are similar to RESs in that they re-

out before they receive the full benefits of the system, it provides low-interest financing, and it enables homeowners to begin seeing the benefits of their investment right away through lower utility bills. A similar model, called utility on-bill financing, offers home or business owners the opportunity to pay for their solar energy purchase through a small charge in their utility bill—the same place that they will see savings from decreased reliance on fossil fuels.

Community solar—As noted earlier, at least one electric cooperative has experimented with the idea of allowing customers to purchase a solar panel located on utility land, with the value of the energy produced by the panel credited to the consumer on his or her bill. Several states are considering expanding this model to allow groups of homeowners to finance and reap the benefits of solar power installations not located on their properties. This type of community solar program enables consumers who are unable to install solar energy on their own properties to participate in expanding the reach of clean energy. While promising and capable of filling a unique niche for those who can't go solar themselves, this program should not take the place of opportunities for customers to own their own solar systems.

Low-interest loans and loan guarantees reduce the pay-back time for solar energy installations. A PV array that will take 20 years to pay for itself can become immediately profitable if a homeowner can pay for it through a low-interest loan. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, for example, operates a loan guarantee program for agricultural adoption of renewable energy technologies. Similarly, federal **renewable energy bonds** enable

Government can help spur the development of a solar economy by taking the lead in installing solar energy systems on public buildings, such as this middle school in Missouri. Source: Missouri Department of Natural Resources

local and state government agencies to finance solar projects at very low interest rates.[149]

I A B C

New buildings present the greatest opportunity to integrate solar energy in a cost-effective way while combining it with energy efficiency—a natural marriage.

Solar energy installations can also run into permitting bottlenecks at the municipal level. **Permitting reforms** include reducing or eliminating permitting fees, reducing the length of time necessary for obtaining permits for solar installations, and standardizing permitting requirements between jurisdictions, as well as ensuring a well-trained and adequate number of city level inspectors and permitting officials.

Utility policies can also erect hurdles to solar development by allowing utilities to charge excessive "standby fees" for solar hookups, capping the amount of solar energy eligible for net metering or, in the most extreme cases, not offering net metering at all. **Fair net metering policies** allow for surplus solar power compensation where a solar system owner gets paid for surplus solar electricity generated over a year-long period, allow a wide range of solar projects to qualify for net metering, and eliminate unjustified restrictive caps on the percentage of load that can be met with solar energy systems under net metering. States without any net metering policies should also establish them.

E

Knowledge barriers are among the most fundamental impediments to the accelerated deployment of solar energy. Consumers need the tools to evaluate whether solar energy makes sense for them and good roadmaps for how to make the process of "going solar" as easy as possible. Builders, architects, electricians, plumbers and other professionals need to understand the potential benefits of solar energy and how to integrate it into their work.

Workforce training is critical to ensuring that America has the base of trained workers necessary to build a solar future. State and federal governments should create and expand job training programs for solar workers and should work with professional organizations and government research and development agencies to ensure that the latest knowledge about the most effective ways to harness solar energy is quickly disseminated to practitioners in the field.

Governments should also develop creative policies to encourage **domestic manufacturing of solar energy equipment**. As the United States builds up a substantial domestic market for solar energy, the nation should use that development to take leadership in the global solar energy market. Well-designed tax credits and other policies can ensure that a greater share of the clean energy jobs created by a large expansion of solar energy remains in the United States.

Consumers need far better tools to ascertain the energy efficiency of buildings and building designs. A consumer shopping for a new clothes washer, for example, can read the government-required EnergyGuide label to quickly determine the energy cost of that appliance over its expected lifetime compared with other models. **Building energy labeling** requirements would require a home energy audit to be conducted prior to sale to allow the purchaser of the building to determine its level of energy efficiency. Building energy labeling would increase the likelihood that individuals would recapture the value of their investments in passive solar technologies and solar panels on resale by ensuring that would-be purchasers understand the monetary savings that will result from those investments.

Public education programs can help the public to understand the benefits of solar energy and provide easy ways (such as 1-800 numbers or directions to a Web

site) for consumers to start the process of "going solar." These programs should include targeted outreach to groups with specific information needs. Industrial plant managers in particular, who can potentially install some of the largest and most cost effective solar energy systems, are likely to avoid unfamiliar technologies when providing for critical energy needs.[153] Education efforts that familiarize these decision makers with the range of available solar options, and how those technologies can serve their needs, can lead to more use of solar energy for appropriate and beneficial purposes.

B G

As noted earlier, **investments in a smart grid** are critical to unlock the full potential of distributed solar electricity. Investing the necessary resources in smart grid development is important, but definitions of "smart grid" vary and the nation can ill-afford to waste resources on technologies that are unnecessary or that do not move the nation toward a clean energy future. Smart grid investments should be channeled toward those technologies that can contribute to the expansion of solar power, including advanced inverters, improved communications, and deployment of electricity storage.

Similarly, efforts to build a smarter grid should be undertaken alongside clean energy development efforts in other fields, specifically the development and deployment of **plug-in vehicles**. Should plug-in vehicles prove to be a grid asset—as the potential for short-term energy storage in vehicle batteries suggests they may—policies should be put in place to ensure that plug-in vehicle owners receive fair compensation for the services they provide to the grid.

Finally, the nation should ensure that **adequate transmission capacity** exists to connect areas with strong solar energy potential to places where electricity is used. It is important, however, that the nation not overbuild transmission capacity and insist that new transmission lines are devoted to carrying renewable energy, not paving the way for additional fossil fuel-fired plants. New transmission lines should be run, wherever possible, along existing corridors, and should always be carefully sited to minimize environmental impacts.

D N

Many solar energy technologies are ready to make an immediate contribution to the nation's energy challenges. Solar photovoltaics, residential and commercial solar water heating systems, and concentrating solar power plants are all proven technologies. **Research and development** programs can continue to hone and improve those technologies, increasing the efficiency of solar cells, helping to develop efficient new manufacturing techniques, and finding new ways to overcome barriers to the integration of large amounts of solar energy into our economy.

There are, however, several solar technologies that, while promising, require greater effort to develop. Technologies such as industrial solar process heat, solar district heating, active solar lighting, and solar cooling have the potential to deliver great benefits in energy savings and pollution reductions. These technologies would benefit from concerted and coordinated research efforts and development strategies.

Looking far down the road, researchers will also need to develop methods for recycling solar energy systems that have reached the end of their useful lives, reclaiming as many useful materials as possible and ensuring that solar systems are disposed of without harm to the environment.

Finally, as this paper shows, there are

nearly as many potential ways to take advantage of solar energy as the human mind can imagine. Not all of these ways will turn out to be practical, but any idea with a chance of making a meaningful contribution should be explored. In 2009, the U.S. provided funding for the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E)—an agency specifically designed to explore experimental energy technologies with transformative potential. For example, one research project funded by the agency is exploring the potential to use living organisms to convert sunlight and carbon dioxide into transportation fuel.[154] Many—indeed, most—of the ideas studied by ARPA-E will never come to fruition. But redoubling America's commitment to basic energy research will not only increase the chances of discovering the next transformative solar energy technology, but will also increase the chances that that technology will be developed in the United States.

1 U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2009: An Updated Reference Case, April 2009.

2 2006 gross domestic product equaled $13,178.4 billion in 2007 dollars. From U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Current-Dollar and "Real" Gross Domestic Product, 29 April 2009. The United States spent $921.2 billion in 2007 dollars on fossil fuels in 2006. U.S. consumption data from U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, State

of Global Change, "Probabilistic Forecast for 21st Century Climate Based on Uncertainties in Emissions (without Policy) and Climate Parameters," Journal of Climate 22 (19): 5175-5204, October 2009 (doi: 10.1175/2009JCLI2863.1); Vicky Pope, United Kingdom Met Office, Head of Climate Change Advice, "Met Office Warn of 'Catastrophic' Rise in Temperature," The Times Online (London), 19 December 2008. 6.5 feet: W.T. Pfeffer, et al., Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, "Kinematic Constraints on Glacier Contributions to 21st-Century Sea-Level Rise," Science 321: 1340-1343, September 2008.

11 Extinction: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Fourth Assessment Report, Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report, 2007; Brian Walsh, "The New Age of Extinction," Time, 1 April 2009. Drought: One third: E.J. Burke, S.J. Brown, and N. Christidis, "Modeling the Recent Evolution of Global Drought and Projections for the Twenty-First Century with the Hadley Centre Climate Model," Journal of Hydrometeorology 7: 1113–1125, 2006; Susan Solomon, et al., U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, "Irreversible Climate Change Due to Carbon Emissions," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106: 1704-1709, 10 February 2009. Wildfires: Donald McKenzie, et al., U.S. Department of Agriculture, "Climatic Change, Wildfire, and Conservation," Conservation Biology 18(4): 890-902, August 2004. Hurricanes: Researchers at Florida State University calculate that for every 1° C increase in sea-surface temperatures, the frequency of severe hurricanes (category 4 and 5) increases by nearly one-third. James Elsner, et al., "The Increasing Intensity of the Strongest Tropical Cyclones," Nature 455: 92-95, 4 September 2008. Heat waves: Andreas Sterl, et al., Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, "When Can We Expect Extremely High Surface Temperatures?" Geophysical Research Letters 35, L14703 (doi:10.1029/2008GL034071), 19 July 2008. Amazon: Rachel Warren, "Impacts of Global Climate Change at Different Annual Mean Global Temperature Increases," in Hans Joachim Schnellnhuber, ed., Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, 2006; HM Treasury, Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change, 2006, 57.

12 U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States, 2008, December 2009.

13 Vasilis M. Fthenakis, Hyung Chul Kim and Erik Alsema, "Emissions from Photovoltaic Life Cycles," Environmental Science and Technology 42(6): 2168-2174, 2008.

14 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, PV FAQs: What Is the Energy Payback for PV?, January 2004.

15 Greenpeace International, European Solar Thermal Industry Association and IEA SolarPACES, Concentrated Solar Thermal Power—Now!, September 2005.

16 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Solar Energy Technologies Program, PV in Simple, Stand Alone Systems, January 2006.

17 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Solar Energy Technologies Program, Why PV Is Important, 5 January 2006.

18 European Photovoltaic Industry Association, Global Market Outlook for Photovoltaics Until 2013, April 2009, cited in Earth Policy Institute, Cumulative Installed Solar Photovoltaics Capacity in the United States, 1998-2008 (Excel spreadsheet), downloaded from www.earth-policy.org/datacenter/xls/update83_5.xls,

4 November 2009.

19 Assumes a 16 percent capacity factor for solar PV.

20 European Photovoltaic Industry Association, *Global Market Outlook for Photovoltaics Until 2013*, April 2009, cited in Earth Policy Institute, *Cumulative Installed Solar Photovoltaics Capacity in the United States, 1998-2008* (Excel spreadsheet), downloaded from www.earth-policy.org/datacenter/xls/update83_5.xls, 4 November 2009.

21 Kevin Bullis, "Mixing Solar With Coal to Cut Costs," *Technology Review*, 4 September 2009.

22 "Six new" from Solar Energy Industries Association, *Major Solar Projects in the United States Operational, Under Construction and Under Development, Updated 1/27/2010*, downloaded from www.seia.org/galleries/pdf/Major%20Solar%20Projects.pdf, 2 February 2010.

23 Andy Walker, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *Solar Water Heating*, updated 27 May 2008, downloaded from www.wbdg.org/resources/swheating.php, 11 November 2009.

24 Ibid.

25 Ibid.

26 Ibid.

27 Bernadette Del Chiaro and Timothy Telleen-Lawton, Environment California Research and Policy Center and Frontier Group, *Solar Water Heating: How California Can Reduce Its Dependence on Natural Gas*, April 2007.

28 Gregg D. Ander, Southern California Edison, *Daylighting*, updated 5 November 2008, downloaded from www.wbdg.org/resources/daylighting.php 11 November 2009.

29 Ibid.

30 Oak Ridge National Laboratory, *New Oak Ridge Company Putting Hybrid Solar Lighting On Map* (press release), 30 August 2005.

31 Judy Fosdick, "Passive Solar Heating," *Whole Building Design Guide*, updated 22 May 2008, downloaded from www.wbdg.org/resources/psheating.php 11 November 2009.

32 Sustainable Sources, *Passive Solar Design*, downloaded from passivesolar.sustainablesources.com/, 31 December 2009.

33 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Solar Energy Technologies Program, *Solar Collectors*, August 2006.

34 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Energy Savers, *Active Solar Heating*, 24 March 2009.

35 Solar Server, *Cooling with Solar Heat: Growing Interest in Solar Air Conditioning*, downloaded from www.solarserver.de/solarmagazin/artikeljuni2002-e.html, 31 December 2009.

36 U.S. Census Bureau, *American Housing Survey for the United States: 2007*, September 2008.

37 J. Kosny, T. Petrie, et al., Oak Ridge National Laboratory, *Thermal Mass—Energy Savings Potential in Residential Buildings*, undated.

38 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, *Five Elements of Passive Solar Home Design*, downloaded from www.energysavers.gov/your_home/designing_remodeling/index.cfm/mytopic=10270, 10 February 2010.

39 See note 27.

40 Matt Daily, "Dow Sees Huge Market in Solar Shingles," *Reuters*, 6 October 2009.

41 See: Environment California, *Solar Home Developments*, downloaded from

www.environmentcalifornia.org/energy/million-solar-roofs/solar-home-developments, 31 December 2009.

42 Bernadette Del Chiaro, Environment California Research & Policy Center, *Rave Reviews for Solar Homes: A Survey of Homeowners in California*, March 2006.

43 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, *A Homebuilder's Guide to Going Solar*, December 2008.

44 Steve Dunn, Southwest Energy Efficiency Project, *High Performance Homes in the Southwest: Savings Potential, Cost Effectiveness and Policy Options*, November 2007.

45 Massachusetts Zero Net Energy Buildings Task Force, *Getting to Zero: Final Report of the Massachusetts Zero Net Energy Buildings Task Force*, 14 March 2009.

46 Passive House Institute US, *What Is a Passive House?*, downloaded from www.passivehouse.us/passiveHouse/PassiveHouseInfo.html, 7 January 2010.

47 P. Denholm, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *The Technical Potential of Solar Water Heating to Reduce Fossil Fuel Use and Greenhouse Gas Emissions in the United States*, March 2007.

48 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Energy Savers, *The Economics of a Solar Water Heater*, 24 February 2009.

49 See note 47.

50 See note 27.

51 Based on an estimate of total energy consumption attributable to commercial buildings, based on data from U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Outlook 2010 Early Release*, 14 December 2009. We assumed that the ratio of delivered energy consumption in commercial buildings compared to the commercial sector as a whole also applied to total energy consumption (which includes consumption of fossil fuels at power plants that supply electricity to commercial buildings).

52 Kevin Ferguson, "Box Stores Target Lighting Inefficiencies," *New York Times, Green Inc.*, 20 July 2009.

53 Walmart, *Walmart Powers Facilities with Solar Energy*, 1 September 2009.

54 Ed Acker, "Warehouse," in *Whole Building Design Guide*, updated 2 July 2009. Downloaded from www.wbdg.org/design/warehouse.php on 16 November 2009.

55 World's Largest Laundromat, *World's Largest Laundromat*, downloaded from www.worldslargestlaundry.com/solar.html, 16 November 2009.

56 Boston Red Sox, *Red Sox Unveil Solar Hot Water Panels at Fenway* (press release), 19 May 2008.

57 U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, *Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey 2003*, September 2008.

58 Davis Langdon, *Cost of Green Revisited: Reexamining the Feasibility and Cost Impact of Sustainable Design in the Light of Increased Market Adoption*, July 2007.

59 Gregory H. Kats, Massachusetts Technology Collaborative, *Green Building Costs and Financial Benefits*, 2003.

60 J. Paidipati, L. Frantzis, H. Sawyer, and A. Kurrasch, Navigant Consulting, Inc. for National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *Rooftop Photovoltaics Market Penetration Scenarios*, February 2008.

61 Ryan Wiser, Galen Barbose, Carla Peterman, and Naïm Darghouth, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, *Tracking the Sun II: The Installed Cost of Photovoltaics in the U.S. from 1998-2008*, October 2009.

62 See note 23.

63 See note 1.

64 U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, 2006 Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey, June 2009.

65 Claudia Vannoni, Ricardo Battisti, and Serena Drigo, International Energy Agency, Solar Heating and Cooling Programme, Potential for Solar Heat in Industrial Processes, 2008.

66 European Solar Thermal Industry Federation, Solar Industrial Process Heat—State of the Art, 25 A9. aean Are/T1_a0.5 199.7852 568otTf10.5 0 0 102524.7794 Tm(, 25 A9. aean Are/T

90 Lance Frazer, "Paving Paradise: The Peril of Impervious Surfaces," *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113 (7): A456-A462, July 2005.

91 Oregon Department of Transportation, *Innovative Partnerships Program*, downloaded from www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/OIPP/inn_solarhighway.shtml, 31 December 2009.

92 Chevrolet Volt: Chevrolet, *2011 Volt: Introducing Chevrolet Volt*, downloaded from www.chevrolet.com, 31 December 2009.

93 "Several other": Plug-In America, *Plug-in Vehicle Tracker*, downloaded from www.pluginamerica.org/plug-in-vehicle-tracker.html, 31 December 2009; Nissan Leaf: Nissan, *Nissan Unveils "LEAF"—The World's First Electric Car Designed for Affordability and Real-World Requirements* (press release), 2 August 2009.

94 Sherry Boschert, *The Cleanest Cars: Well-to-Wheels Emissions Comparisons*, May 2008.

95 Toyota: Green Car Congress, *Toyota Industries Corp. Develops Solar Charging Station for EVs and PHEVs*, 26 December 2009; "several companies," Marty Graham, "Google Plants Solar Trees," *Wired*, 13 December 2006.

96 See note 91.

97 Harriet Baskas, "Solar Powered Airports? It Could Happen," *USA Today*, 28 April 2009.

98 Navigant Consulting, *The Use of Renewable Energy Sources to Provide Power to California's High Speed Rail*, 3 September 2008.

99 International Maritime Organization, *Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships*, 9 April 2009.

100 Christopher Shay, "Cleaning Up Polluted Harbors with Greener Ships," *TIME* , 28 October 2009.

101 Morris A. Pierce, University of Rochester, *Largest District Energy Systems*, downloaded from www.energy.rochester.edu/dh/largest.htm, updated June 2001.

102 International District Energy Association, *Downtown Utilities*, downloaded from www.districtenergy.org/assets/pdfs/DowntownUtilities.pdf, 31 December 2009.

103 International District Energy Association, *U.S. District Energy Systems*, downloaded from www.districtenergy.org/us-district-energy-systems, 31 December 2009.

104 See, for example, European Commission, *Directorate-General for Energy and Transport, Solar District Heating: Ballerup (Denmark)*, downloaded from www.energie-cites.org/db/ballerup_139_en.pdf, 31 December 2009; European Commission, *Directorate-General for Energy and Transport, Solar District Heating: Friedrichshafen (Germany)*, downloaded from www.energie-cites.org/db/friedrichshafen_139_en.pdf, 31 December 2009.

105 Thomas Schmidt, Janet Nussbicker and Stefan Raab, *Monitoring Results from German Central Solar Heating Plants with Seasonal Storage*, paper presented to the ISES 2005 Solar World Congress, 6-12 August 2005.

106 B. Sibbitt, T. Onno, et al., *The Drake Landing Solar Community Project—Early Results*, Power Point presentation to Canadian Solar Buildings Conference, 10-14 October 2007.

107 EnerWorks, *EnerWorks Supplies World's Largest Solar Heating and Cooling Installation*, downloaded from www.enerworks.com/news_files/EnerWorks_Collectors_Provide_Solar_CoolingTB.pdf, 31 December 2009.

108 Stephanie Simon, "Rural Electric Co-ops Make Move into Alternative Energy," *Wall Street Journal*, 8 September 2009.

109 SunSmart, Governor Huntsman and Mayor Help the St. George Utility Companies Cut the Ribbon at the New SunSmart Solar Farm (press release), 14 January 2009.

110 Laura Snider, "Rep. Levy, D-Boulder, to Introduce "Solar Gardens" Bill," Daily Camera, 18 January 2010.

111 North Carolina Solar Center and Interstate Renewable Energy Council, DSIRE Solar: Massachusetts-Net Metering downloaded from www.dsireusa.org/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=MA01R&re=1&ee=1, 3 February 2010.

112 See note 57.

113 Ibid.

114 See, for example, Arthur van Benthem, Kenneth Gillingham and James Sweeney, "Learning-by-Doing and the Optimal Solar Policy in California." The Energy Journal, 29(3): 131-152, July 2008.

115 Richard Perez, Clean Power Research, Determination of Photovoltaic Effective Load Carrying Capacity for New Jersey, downloaded from www.cleanpower.com/research/capacityvaluation/ELCC_New_Jersey.pdf, 4 February 2010.

116 See note 22.

117 California Energy Commission, Large Solar Energy Projects, downloaded from www.energy.ca.gov/siting/solar/index.html, 30 December 2009.

118 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Solar FAQs—Concentrating Solar Power—Applications, downloaded from downloaded from www.eere.energy.gov/solar/cfm/faqs/third_level.cfm/name=Concentrating%20Solar%20Power/cat=Applications, 16 November 2008.

119 FPL, Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center FAQs, downloaded from www.fpl.com/environment/solar/martin_faq.shtml, 20 January 2010.

120 FPL, DeSoto Next Generation Solar Center, downloaded from www.fpl.com/environment/solar/desoto.shtml, 30 December 2009.

121 Solar Energy Industries Association, Major Solar Projects in the United States Operational, Under Construction and Under Development, Updated 1/27/2010, downloaded from www.seia.org/galleries/pdf/Major%20Solar%20Projects.pdf, 2 February 2010.

122 P. Denholm and R. Margolis, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Very Large-Scale Deployment of Grid-Connected Solar Photovoltaics in the United States: Challenges and Opportunities, April 2006.

123 Ibid.

124 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and Sandia National Laboratory, Solar Energy Grid Integration Systems-"SEGIS": Program Concept Paper, October 2007.

125 Navigant Consulting, The Convergence of the Smart Grid with Photovoltaics: Identifying Value and Opportunities, 29 January 2009.

126 U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2008, 26 June 2009.

127 Assumes a 16 percent capacity factor for solar PV.

128 Mark Mehos, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Concentrating Solar Power, Power Point presentation to APS Forum, 1-2 March 2008.

129 National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering and National Research Council, Real Prospects for Energy Efficiency in the United States (prepublication copy), 2010.

130 Note: these estimates are based on

previous estimates of energy consumption from earlier versions of the U.S. Energy Information Administration's Annual Energy Outlook series of reports. Subsequent revisions that reflect both the economic downturn and the implementation of more recent energy efficiency policies at the state and federal level have reduced projected energy consumption in 2030 to 111 quads, per U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2010 Early Release, 14 December 2009.

131 The basis for this estimate is as follows: The U.S. Energy Information Administration's Annual Energy Outlook 2010 report (see note 130 for full citation) projects that total primary energy consumption in 2030 will be approximately 111 quadrillion Btu (quads). Of this figure, 46.6 quads are consumed in the production of electricity. The National Academy of Science's Real Prospects for Energy Efficiency in the United States (see note 129 for full citation) estimates that cost-effective energy efficiency could reduce primary energy consumption for the production of electricity used in residential and commercial buildings by 14.4 quads. Subtracting this from the AEO 2010 estimate results in primary energy consumption for electricity generation of 32.2 quads. Assuming that PV averts 20 percent of this energy consumption results in estimated savings of 6.4 quads.

132 See Schott North America, National Poll Shows More Than Nine Out of 10 Americans Want Solar Now (press release), 8 October 2009; ABC News/Washington Post poll conducted 13-17 August 2009, accessed at www.pollingreport.com/energy.htm, 7 January 2010.

133 See note 61.

134 Jim Carbone, "Solar Photovoltaic Systems Prices Continue Decline," Purchasing, 2 December 2009.

135 15 cents: SolarPACES, ESTELA and Greenpeace International, Concentrating Solar Power Global Outlook 2009: Why Renewable Energy Is Hot, 2009; 16 cents: Mark Mehos, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Concentrating Solar Power, Power Point presentation to APS Forum, 1-2 March 2008.

136 See note 60.

137 Thomas P. Kimbis, U.S. Department of Energy, Solar Energy Technologies Program, Solar Energy Industry Forecast: Perspectives on U.S. Solar Market Trajectory (presentation), 27 May 2008.

138 See, e.g., U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, The Electricity Market Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation Report, February 2001.

139 See note 78.

140 "more than 1 million" from Electric Drive Transportation Association, Hybrid Sales Figures/Tax Credits for Hybrids, downloaded from www.electricdrive.org/index.php?ht=d/Articles/cat_id/5514/pid/2549, 20 January 2010.

141 California Solar Initiative, California Solar Statistics, updated 30 December 2009.

142 New Jersey Clean Energy Program, NJ Solar Installations as of 10310.09 (Excel file), downloaded from www.njcleanenergy.com, 7 January 2010.

143 Environmental Law Institute, Estimating U.S. Government Subsidies to Energy Sources: 2002-2008, September 2009.

144 U.S. Department of Energy, NC Solar Center and Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Database of State Incentives for Renewables and Efficiency: Federal Residential Renewable Energy Tax Credit, downloaded from www.dsireusa.org/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=US37F&re=1&ee=0, 7 January 2010.

145 U.S. Department of Energy, NC Solar Center and Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Database of State Incentives for Renewables and Efficiency: Federal Business Energy Investment Tax Credit, downloaded from http://www.dsireusa.org/solar/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=US02F&re=1&ee=0, 7 January 2010.

146 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, "Vermont Passes a Feed-in Tariff, Plus U7n0 1=lean Energy Measures," EERE Network News, 3 June 2009.

147 U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Federal Energy Management Program: Federal Renewable Production and Consumption Requirements, downloaded from www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/technologies/renewable_requirements.html, 4 February 2010.

148 Eighteen states from North Carolina Solar Center, Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Property-Assessed =lean Energy, Novemb0 12009.

149 North Carolina Solar Center and Interstate Renewable Energy Council, DSIRE Solar: Federal =lean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREBs), downloaded from www.dsireusa.org/solar/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=US45F&re=1&ee=1,120 January 2010.

150 New Mexico House Bill 610,12007 regular legislative session.

151 Lynda Arakawa, "Hawaii May Mandate Solar Water Heaters," Honolulu Advertiser, 14 March12008.

152 North Carolina Solar Center and Interstate Renewable Energy Council, DSIRE Solar: Hawaii Solar Water Heating Requirement for New Residential =onstruction, downloaded from www.dsireusa.org/solar/incentives/incentive.cfm?Incentive_Code=HI13R&re=1&ee=1,120 January 2010.

153 European Solar Thermal Industry Federation, Solar Industrial Process Heat—State of the Art, 125 August12006.

154 U.S. Department of Energy, Transformational Energy Research1Projects Win $151 Million in Funding (press release),126 Octob0 12009.